

STATINTL

CLANDESTINE SERVICE SENIOR SEMINAR

CRITIQUE

1. As you know, the first four days of the Seminar were designed as a sort of backdrop to the problem-tackling weeks, providing: a. a brief survey of developments since World War II and of present international conditions, with particular emphasis on those forces and trends which pose problems for U. S. national objective and security; b. a look at ourselves and prospects for the future -- the CS in a changing world; and c. an up-to-date and candid look at "the national security process" and the CS role therein. Did you find this portion of the Seminar useful?

a.	No
b.	Yes, to a slight degree
c.	Yes, to a moderate degree
đ.	Yes, to a good degree
e.	Yes, to an exceptional degree

2. Quite apart from the CS Senior Seminar, do you think that a brief (2 or 3 day) The CS in a Changing World along the same lines as this backdrop portion would be useful and interesting to other senior CS officers?

Approved For Release 2001/08/30 : CIA-RDP78-04497A000100050012-0

CRITIQUE	(cont.)	Page	Two
a.	No		
b.	Yes, to a slight degree		
с.	Yes, to a moderate degree		
đ.	Yes, to a good degree		
e.	Yes, to an exceptional degree		

Unfortunately, agenda changes and other factors beyond our control did not permit the timely dissemination of complete reading kits. Except for receiving reading material in piecemeal fashion, did you find the documents useful to your consideration of the problems discussed?

a.	NO
b.	Yes, to a slight degree
c.	Yes, to a moderate degree
d.	Yes, to a good degree
e.	Yes, to an exceptional degree

4. Do you have any suggestions for changes or improvements in the techniques of the Seminar, e.g., in the method of preparing the papers, the handling of outside contributions by guest speakers, etc.?

STATINTL I believe that Seminar participants should each prepare a paper on the topics to be discussed, based on their own experience and existing pertinent studies, papers, rtc. These papers could then be presented by each student, critiqued, and then be used as a basis for discussion. I think that more panels -- perhaps of widly divergent virupoints

CRITIQUE (cont.)

Page Three

in the administration of the Seminar, including space and location?

I would necommend holding portions of the Seminar (after the Input is complete) outside the Hy building in a strictly informal atmosphere.

6. Do you think the size of the group was appropriate? If not, please explain. Yes, just about right.

7. Do you think that Seminar members were well selected to meet the requirements placed on the Seminar? If not, do you have any suggestions for improving the composition of any such future Seminar? While the members Struck me as good, weight out officers to not believe that the group as a whole Approved For Release 2001/08/30: CIA-RDP78-04497A000100050012-0 pertinent to the problems discussed recessor.

CRITIQUE (cont.)

Page Four

To produce the best possible papers and recommendations. Basically, I think that there should have been more senior oflicers -at about the virision cops level.

8. Did you find the Seminar topics pertinent and challenging? What topics would you have included as "vital, current problems of

the CS?" CS Career Management (es a parâllel Examination to the Panels) The Agency's CI Mission, i.e., improving US performance in this field. Operations Training ; i.e., where Locs 68 mals more responsive to CS needs, how can it extract more CS support;

Do you have any other comments on the Seminar which might be useful as a basis for improvement or modification of any future Seminar?

I would recommend single-topic shorter. intensive Seminars which would catch more of the big CS lish and which would, then, presumably get wider and more willing support from the Divisions and Stalls. Alternatively, the CS est this stage of envelopment will use a state Part Senior Seminar of comprehensive scope and greater length with of worse appropriately broader objections STATINTL